SCREENING SUMMARY NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

The No Build Alternative is the do nothing option that is used for comparison to the build alternatives. The screening assumes no new facilities are constructed as part of the I-93 Project.

Cotomony	Score							
Category		$\overline{\ }$		\bigcirc				
Access		Х				—		
Aesthetics			Х			0		
Community Resources			Х			0		
Community Vision		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Economic Vitality		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х					
Implementation					Х			
Mobility	Х							
Natural Environment		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Public Health		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Quality of Life		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Residential Neighborhoods		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Safety	Х							
Support		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Transportation Choice		Х				—		

The No Build Alternative is required by NEPA for comparison purposes and therefore must be carried forward.

DETAILED SCREENING NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—		•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

A 00000			Score		
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.					
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.	Х				
Comments: Congestion on I-93 would reduce access. Category Score		Х			

DETAILED SCREENING NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Aesthetics		Score		
Aesthetics			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.		X		
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.		X		
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.		Х		
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.		Х		
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.	Х			
Comments: No measurable impact. Category Score		Х		

Community Resources			Score		
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on parks.			X		
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X		
Comments: No impacts. Category Sco	ore		Х		

DETAILED SCREENING NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Community Vision			Score	;	
Community vision		0		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		X			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.		X			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		X			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.					
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.		Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х		
Comments: The No Build is not compatible with most community plans or visions. Category Score		X			

Economic Vitality			Score		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.		X			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Χ			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Χ			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.		X			
Comments: Congestion has a negative impact on economic vitality throughout the region. Category Score		Х			

DETAILED SCREENING NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score				
		0	\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х			
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.			X			
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score		Х			

Implementation		Score		
Implementation	•		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the cost.				Х
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.				Х
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.				Х
Comments: The No Build requires no implementation. Category Score				Х

Mobility			Score		
Mobility		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.	X				
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.		X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.	X				
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х			
Comments: Expected growth would substantially degrade mobility throughout the region.	Х				

DETAILED SCREENING NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Natural Environment			Score	,	
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.		X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		X			
Comments: The No Build provides no opportunity to alleviate existing negative impacts on the natural environment.		Х			

Dublic Uselib		Score	
Public Health	—		
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		Х	
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.	Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.		Х	
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.	Х		
Comments: Congestion would degrade air quality in the future.	Х		

DETAILED SCREENING NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Quality of Life			Score	,	
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.					
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.		Х			
Comments: Doing nothing would degrade the quality of life of the region by not addressing traffic congestion.		Х			

Residential Neighborhoods			Score		
		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.					
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.					
Comments: Increased congestion on local roads would have a negative impact on neighborhoods.		Х		_	

Safety			Score		
Carety				Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.	X				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.		X			
Comments: The No Build would not address existing safety issues and increased traffic and congestion would be expected to make these safety issues much worse.	Х				

DETAILED SCREENING NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE

Support			Score	,	
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.					
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.					
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource groups.		Х			
Comments: There is opposition to doing nothing. Category Score		Х			

Transportation Choice			Score		
		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.					
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х			
Comments: No provisions to help promote transportation choice. Category Score		Х			

SCREENING SUMMARY TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVE

The Travel Demand Management (TDM) Alternative proposes a variety of initiatives to decrease the demand on the transportation system without expanding the roadway network, these include:

- Ride Sharing
- Alternative modes (bus rail, etc.)
- Vanpools
- Shifting work hours

- Congestion pricing of tolls
- Tele-commuting
- Increased enforcement

0.1	Score						
Category		—		\bigcirc			
Access		Х				—	
Aesthetics			Х				
Community Resources			Х				
Community Vision		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Economic Vitality		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х				
Implementation		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Mobility		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Natural Environment		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Public Health				Х		$\overline{\ }$	
Quality of Life		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Residential Neighborhoods		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Safety		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Support		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Transportation Choice				Х		$\overline{\ }$	

The TDM Alternative is deemed reasonable because it is typically an alternative or a component of an alternative in an environmental document.	Required
--	----------

SCREENING SUMMARY TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—		•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access			Score		
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.					
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.					
Comments: Congestion on I-93 would reduce access. Category Score		Х			

Aesthetics		Score					
		•					
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х				
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х				
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х				
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х				
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х				
Comments: No measurable impact. Category Score			Х				

Community Resources		Score						
			\bigcirc					
Evaluate the effect on parks.				Χ				
Evaluate the effect on schools.				X				
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score	_		X				

Community Vision			Score	;	
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Χ			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.					
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Х			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.					
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.		Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х		
Comments: TDM is not compatible with most community plans or visions. Category Score		Х			

Economic Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.	Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.	Χ			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.	Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.	Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.	Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.	Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.	Х			
Comments: Congestion has a negative impact on economic vitality throughout the region.	Х			_

Historic and Archaelogical Passuross		Score							
Historic and Archeological Resources			0						
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.				Х					
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.				Х					
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score			Х					

Implementation			Score		
Implementation		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the cost.		Х			
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.		Х			
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.		Х			
Comments: TDM strategies could be difficult to implement because of restrictions on the use of gas tax funds for other than roadway projects.		X			

Mobility		Score		
Mobility	\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.	X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.	Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.	Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х		
Comments: Expected growth would degrade mobility Category Score throughout the region.	Х			

Notinal Environment		Score	!	
Natural Environment			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.	X			
Comments: TDM provides little opportunity to alleviate existing negative impacts on the natural environment.	Х			

Public Health			Score		
Fubile ricaldi		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: Reduced use of single passenger vehicles could improve public health.				Х	

Quality of Life			Score		
Quality of Life				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.	Х				
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.		Х			
Comments: TDM alone would degrade the quality of life for those in the region by not addressing traffic congestion.		Х			

Decidential Neighborhoods					
Residential Neighborhoods				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.		Х			
Comments: Increased congestion on local roads would have a negative impact on neighborhoods.		Х			

Cofoty			Score		
Safety		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.	Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.	Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.	Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х			
Comments: TDM would not correct existing safety issues and increased traffic and congestion would be expected to make these safety issues worse.		Х			

Support			Score	<u> </u>	
Support		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.	Х				
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource groups.		Х			
Comments: There is opposition to implementing TDM Category Score alone.		Х			

Transportation Chains			Score	!	
Transportation Choice				Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.					X
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation					Х
Comments: TDM promotes the use of other modes of transportation. Category Score				Х	

SCREENING SUMMARY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVE

The Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative proposes a variety of short-term, low cost measures to reduce congestion and improve safety on the transportation system, these may include:

- New traffic signals
- Turn lanes
- Intelligent Transportation Systems
- Re-striping lanes
- · Ramp metering
- Ramp modifications

Catamanu		Sc	ore	
Category	$\overline{\ }$		\bigcirc	
Access	Х			—
Aesthetics		Х		
Community Resources		Х		
Community Vision	Х			—
Economic Vitality	Х			—
Historic and Archeological Resources		Х		
Implementation			Х	\bigcirc
Mobility	Х			—
Natural Environment	Х			$\overline{}$
Public Health		Х		
Quality of Life	Х			—
Residential Neighborhoods	Х			$\overline{}$
Safety			Х	$\overline{\ }$
Support		Х		
Transportation Choice	Х			—

The TSM Alternative is deemed reasonable because it is typically an alternative or a component of an alternative in an environmental document.	Required
--	----------

SCREENING SUMMARY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—	0		
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access			Score		
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.	Х				
Comments: Congestion on I-93 would reduce access. Category Score		Χ			

Aesthetics		Score					
		•					
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х				
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х				
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х				
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х				
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х				
Comments: No measurable impact. Category Score			Х				

Community Resources		Score						
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on parks.			Х					
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X					
Comments: No impacts. Categ	ory Score		Х					

Community Vision			Score)	
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Х			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.		X			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Х			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.			Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х		
Comments: TSM is not compatible with most community Category Score plans or visions.		Х			

Economic Vitality			Score		
Economic vitality				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Χ			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.		Х			
Comments: Congestion has a negative impact on economic vitality throughout the region.		Х			_

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score						
					\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.				Х				
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.				Х				
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score			Х				

Implementation		Score				
Implementation						
Evaluate the cost.				Х		
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.				Х		
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.				Х		
Comments: TSM strategies are relatively simple to category Score implement and are often first phase projects for a longer term project				Х		

Mobility			Score		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.		X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.		X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.			Х		
Comments: Expected growth would degrade mobility Category Score throughout the region.		Х			

Natural Environment			Score	!	
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.		X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			X		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		X			
Comments: TSM provides little opportunity to alleviate existing negative impacts on the natural environment.		Х			

Public Health			Score		
Public Health		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: No measurable impact on public health. Category Score			Х		

Quality of Life			Score	
Quality of Life				
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.		X		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.				
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.		Х		
Comments: TSM alone would degrade the quality of life for those in the region by not addressing traffic congestion.		Х		

Residential Neighborhoods		Score							
		\bigcirc		Θ					
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.		X							
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.									
Comments: Increased congestion on local roads would have a negative impact on neighborhoods.		Х							

Safaty		Score						
Safety		•	\bigcirc					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.				Χ				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.				Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.				Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.			Х					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х						
Comments: TSM has the potential to address the existing safety issues. Category Score				Х				

Support			Score	;	
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.			Х		
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.			Х		
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.			Х		
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.			Х		
Evaluate the support from resource groups.			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Transportation Choice			Score	}	
Transportation Choice		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х			
Comments: No provisions to help promote transportation choice Category Score		Х			

SCREENING SUMMARY OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 1

The Opportunity Corridor Concept was developed by the City of Concord. Option 1 includes all elements of this concept, which proposes the following;

- Six Lanes on I-93
- Westerly shift of I-93
- Lower I-93 between Exits 13 & 15
- Reconfigure Exits 14 & 15
- Upgrade to Exit 12

- Upgrade to I-93/I-89 and Exit 1
- Extend Storrs Street north & south
- Local Connection to Fort Eddy Road
- Multi-modal center
- River Access

0.01.0.0000	Score						
Category		$\overline{\ }$					
Access				Х			
Aesthetics				Х		$\overline{\ }$	
Community Resources			Х			0	
Community Vision				Х		$\overline{\ }$	
Economic Vitality				Х		$\overline{\ }$	
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х				
Implementation		Х				$\overline{\ }$	
Mobility					Х		
Natural Environment			Х			0	
Public Health				Х		$\overline{\ }$	
Quality of Life					Х		
Residential Neighborhoods				Х		$\overline{\ }$	
Safety					Х		
Support					Х		
Transportation Choice				Х		\bigcirc	

Opportunity Corridor Option 1 is deemed Reasonable for further consideration.	Reasonable

DETAILED SCREENING OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 1

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—			
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable	11		Support	Reasonable
Strong Opposition			2.440.0	Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

Accoss			Score		
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.				Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.					Х
Comments: Access is improved by this alternative. Category Score				Χ	

Aesthetics		Score					
Aestnetics							
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.		Х					
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.				Х			
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.				Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.				Х			
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.				X			
Comments: The views are improved by this alternative. Category Score				Х			

Community Resources		Score							
			\bigcirc						
Evaluate the effect on parks.				Χ					
Evaluate the effect on schools.				X					
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score	_		X					

Community Vision			Score		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.					Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.				Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.				Х	
Comments: This alternative is compatible with most community's plans or visions. Category Score				Х	

Economic Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Χ	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Χ	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.				Х
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.			X	
Comments: This alternative would benefit the economies of the adjacent communities. Category Score			Х	

Historia and Archaelogical Poscureos		Score						
Historic and Archeological Resources				\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х					
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		X						
Comments: The improvements could impact sensitive archeological resources.			Х					

Implementation			Score	
Implementation				
Evaluate the cost.		Х		
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.		Х		
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.		Х		
Comments: Phasing of this alternative would be difficult and there would be disruption of traffic during construction.		Х		

Mobility			Score		
WODIIIty		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.				X	
Comments: Mobility would be substantially enhanced by this alternative.					Х

Natural Environment			Score		
Natural Environment				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.				X	
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		X			
Comments: The shifting of I-93 would provide a buffer for the Merrimack River.			Х		

Public Health		Score				
rubiic Health				\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		Х				
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.				Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х			
Comments: Access to the river and other proposed pedestrian trails could improve public health.				Х		

Quality of Life		Score		
Quality of Life				
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.				Х
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.				Х
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.			Х	
Comments: This alternative would improve the quality of life for those in the region by reducing traffic congestion and providing access to other community assets like the river.				X

Posidential Neighborhoods		Score						
Residential Neighborhoods				\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.				X				
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.				Х				
Comments: Neighborhoods would benefit from the reduced traffic on local streets.				Х				

Safety			Score		
Salety				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х	
Comments: Existing deficiencies would be corrected with this alternative. Category Score					Х

Support			Score		
Support				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.				Х	
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.					Х
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.					Х
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.				Х	
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.					Х
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.					Х
Evaluate the support from resource groups.				Х	
Comments: There is overall support for this alternative. Category Score					Х

Transportation Chains		Score	}	
Transportation Choice			Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation			Х	
Comments: This alternative promotes the use of other modes of transportation. Category Score			Х	

SCREENING SUMMARY OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 2

The Opportunity Corridor Concept was developed by the City of Concord. Option 2 proposes a reversible lane on I-93 and proposes the following;

- Five Lanes on I-93 (One Reversible)
- Westerly shift of I-93
- Lower I-93 between Exits 13 & 15
- Reconfigure Exits 14 & 15
- Upgrade to Exit 12

- Upgrade to I-93/I-89 and Exit 1
- Extend Storrs Street north & south
- Local Connection to Fort Eddy Road
- Multi-modal center
- River Access

Cotomomi	Score							
Category		$\overline{\ }$						
Access				Х		0		
Aesthetics				Х		\bigcirc		
Community Resources			Х					
Community Vision				Х		\bigcirc		
Economic Vitality				Х		\bigcirc		
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х					
Implementation	Х							
Mobility				Х				
Natural Environment			Х					
Public Health				Х		\bigcirc		
Quality of Life					Х			
Residential Neighborhoods				Х		\bigcirc		
Safety				Х		—		
Support					Х			
Transportation Choice				Х		$\overline{\ }$		

Opportunity Corridor Option 2 is deemed Unreasonable because the expense to construct and operate a reversible lane is not justified for I-93 where the traffic volumes for peak and non-peak directions are not significantly different.	Unreasonable
---	--------------

DETAILED SCREENING OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 2

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—		•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access		Score				
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.				Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х			
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.					Х	
Comments: Access is improved by this alternative. Category Score				Χ		

Aesthetics		Score					
		•					
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.		Х					
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.				Х			
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.				Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.				Х			
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.				Х			
Comments: The views are improved by this alternative. Category Score				Х			

Community Resources		Score					
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on parks.			X				
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X				
Comments: No impacts. Categ	ory Score		Х				

Community Vision	Score				
Community Vision				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.					Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.				Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.				Х	
Comments: This alternative is compatible with most community's plans or visions. Category Score				Х	

Economic Vitality		Score				
				\bigcirc		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.				Χ		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.				Χ		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.				Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.				Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.					Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.				Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.				X		
Comments: This alternative would benefit the economies of the adjacent communities. Category Score				Х	_	

Historia and Archaelagical Passurass			Score						
Historic and Archeological Resources		\bigcirc		\bigcirc					
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х						
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		X							
Comments: The improvements could impact archeological resources. Category Score			Х						

Implementation			Score	!	
Implementation		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the cost.	Х				
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.		Х			
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.					
Comments: Phasing of this alternative would be difficult and there would be disruption of traffic during construction. There are long term operational costs that would be required.	Х				

Mobility			Score		
Mobility		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.				X	
Comments: Mobility is improved for the peak traffic direction, but not for the non-peal traffic direction. I-93 has a peak/non-peak split of about 55%/45%.				Х	

Natural Environment		Score				
Natural Environment				\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.				X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			Х			
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х			
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.						
Comments: The shifting of I-93 would provide a buffer for the Merrimack River.		_	Х			

Public Health		Score				
Public Health		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		Х				
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.				Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х			
Comments: Access to the river and other proposed pedestrian trails could improve public health.				Х		

Quality of Life			Score		
Quality of Life		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.				X	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.					Х
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.				X	
Comments: This alternative would improve the quality of life for those in the region by reducing traffic congestion and providing access to other community assets like the river.					Х

Decidential Neighborheeds			Score					
Residential Neighborhoods			\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.				X				
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.				X				
Comments: No Impacts.	Category Score			Х				

Safety			Score		
Safety		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.			X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х	
Comments: The reversible lanes would create a safety issue that does not presently exist.				Х	

Support			Score	<u> </u>	
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.			Х		
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.				Х	
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.			Х		
Evaluate the support from resource groups.			Х		
Comments: There was opposition because the only difference from Option 1 was the reversible lane which does not appear applicable			Х		

Transportation Choice			Score	,	
Transportation Choice		•		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation				Х	
Comments: This alternative promotes the use of other modes of transportation. Category Score				Х	

SCREENING SUMMARY OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 4

The Opportunity Corridor Concept was developed by the City of Concord. Option 4 includes all elements of this concept in addition to a Route 106 Connector with access to Garvin Falls and Exit 2 ½ on I-393. It proposes the following;

- Six or Eight Lanes on I-93
- Westerly shift of I-93
- Lower I-93 between Exits 13 & 15
- Reconfigure Exits 14 & 15
- Route 106 Connector

- Access to Garvin Falls
- Extend Storrs Street north & south
- Local Connection to Fort Eddy Road
- Multi-modal center & River Access
- Exit 2 ½ on I-393

C-1	Score					
Category		$\overline{\ }$		\bigcirc		
Access					Х	
Aesthetics				Х		\bigcirc
Community Resources			Х			
Community Vision				Х		\bigcirc
Economic Vitality				Х		\bigcirc
Historic and Archeological Resources	Х					
Implementation	Х					
Mobility					Х	
Natural Environment	Х					
Public Health			Х			
Quality of Life					Х	
Residential Neighborhoods			Х			
Safety					Х	
Support			Х			
Transportation Choice				Х		\bigcirc

Opportunity Corridor Option 4 is deemed	Unresolved

DETAILED SCREENING OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 4

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—	0		
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access		Score		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.				Х
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.				Х
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.				Х
Comments: Access is substantially improved by this alternative.				Х

Acathatica		Score		
Aesthetics				
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.	Х			
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х	
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х	
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.		Х		
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			X	
Comments: The views are improved by this alternative. Category Score			Х	

Community Resources						
			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on parks.				X		
Evaluate the effect on schools.				X		
Comments: No Impacts.	Category Score			X		

Community Violen		Score	;	
Community Vision	0			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.				Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х	
Comments: Category Score			Х	

Economic Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality	\bigcirc			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.			X	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Χ	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Χ	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.				Х
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.				Х
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.			Х	
Comments: This alternative would benefit the economies of the adjacent communities. Category Score			X	

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score					
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х				
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.	Х						
Comments: The improvements could impact sensitive archeological resources.	Х						

Implementation			Score	
Implementation		•		
Evaluate the cost.	Х			
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.		Х		
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.		Х		
Comments: Phasing of this alternative would be difficult and there would be disruption of traffic during construction.	X			

Mobility		Score		
Mobility	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.			X	
Comments: Mobility would be substantially enhanced by this alternative.				Х

Natural Environment			Score	,	
Natural Environment		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X				
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.		X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.	Х				
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.	X				
Comments: This alternative would have substantial category Score impacts to the natural resources that exist in the Garvins Falls area.	Х				

Public Health		Score		
Public nealth	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.	Х			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.		Х		
Comments: Access to the river and other proposed pedestrian trails could improve public health, however, a new corridor in the region could worsen air quality.		X		

Quality of Life		Score		
Quality of Life			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.				Х
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.				Х
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.			X	
Comments: This alternative would improve the quality of life for those in the region by reducing traffic congestion and providing access to other community assets like the river.				Х

Residential Neighborhoods						
			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.				X		
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.				X		
Comments: No Impacts.	Category Score			Х		

Safaty		Score		
Safety				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.				X
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.			Х	
Comments: Category Score				Х

Support			Score)	
Support		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.					X
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.					Х
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.					Х
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.				Х	
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependen upon travel through the region.	t				Х
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource groups.					
Comments: Category Score	9		Х		

Transportation Chains		Score		
Transportation Choice	\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation			Х	
Comments: Category Score			Х	

SCREENING SUMMARY OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 5

- -The Opportunity Corridor Concept was developed by the City of Concord. Option 5 includes most of the elements of this concept except the shifting and lowering of I-93, the multi-modal center, or river access. It proposes the following improvements or provisions;
 - Six Lanes on I-93
 - Reconfigure Exits 14 & 15
- Extend Storrs Street north & south
- Local Connection to Fort Eddy Road

Oatamama			Sc	ore		
Category		—		$\overline{\ }$		
Access				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Aesthetics		Х				$\overline{\ }$
Community Resources			Х			
Community Vision	Х	Х				$\overline{\ }$
Economic Vitality				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Historic and Archeological Resources		Х				$\overline{\ }$
Implementation			Х			
Mobility					Х	
Natural Environment			Х			
Public Health			Х			0
Quality of Life				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Residential Neighborhoods				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Safety					Х	
Support		Х	X			
Transportation Choice		Х				

Opportunity Corridor Option 5 is deemed Unreasonable because it does not provide the community with the type of transportation system it desires.

Unreasonable

DETAILED SCREENING OPPORTUNITY CORRIDOR CONCEPT OPTION 5

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	•	0	$\overline{\ }$	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition			Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

A 0 0 0 0 0		Score		
Access			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.			Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.				Х
Comments: Access is improved by this alternative. Category Score			Х	

Acathotica		Score		
Aesthetics			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.	Х			
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.	Х			
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.	Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.	Х			
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.	Х			
Comments: Category Score	Х			

Community Resources		Score							
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc					
Evaluate the effect on parks.			Х						
Evaluate the effect on schools.			Х						
Comments: Category Score			Х						

Community Violan			Score	ļ	
Community Vision		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.	X				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			X		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.				Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х		
Comments: Category Score	Х	Χ			

Economic Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.				Х
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.			Х	
Comments: Category Score	 		Х	

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score						
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.				Х				
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.			X					
Comments:	Category Score		Х					

Implementation			Score		
Implementation				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the cost.		X			
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.		Х			
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Mobility			Score		
WODINTY		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х	
Comments: Category Score					Х

Natural Environment			Score		
Natural Environment				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.				X	
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			X		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		Х			
Comments: Category Score		_	Х	_	

Public Health			Score	;	
		•			
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: Category Score	9		Х		

Quality of Life			Score		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.					Х
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.			Х		
Comments: Category Score				Х	_

Residential Neighborhoods		Score							
			\bigcirc		\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.					X				
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.					Х				
Comments: Category Sc	core				Х				

Safaty		Score		
Safety				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.				X
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.			Х	
Comments: Category Score				Х

Cuppart				Score		
Support			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.					Х	
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.					Х	
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.		Х				
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.					Х	
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is depupon travel through the region.	pendent					Х
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.					Х	
Evaluate the support from resource groups.					Х	
Comments: Categor	y Score		Х	Х		

Transportation Choice			Score		
Transportation Choice		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х			
Comments: Category Score					

SCREENING SUMMARY ROUTE 106 CONNECTOR OPTION 1

The Route 106 Connector Option 1 proposes a limited access connector roadway from I-89 to the Route 3/106 Intersection. I-93 would remain four lanes north of I-89 under this alternative.

Cotomony			Sc	ore	
Category		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Access				Х	
Aesthetics			Х		0
Community Resources			Х		0
Community Vision				Х	\bigcirc
Economic Vitality			Х		
Historic and Archeological Resources	Х				
Implementation		Х			—
Mobility		Х			—
Natural Environment	Х				
Public Health			Х		0
Quality of Life			Х		0
Residential Neighborhoods			Х		
Safety		Х			—
Support		Х			—
Transportation Choice				Х	

The Route 106 Connector Option 1 is deemed Unreasonable due to its inability to address the future mobility needs of I-93.

Unreasonable

DETAILED SCREENING ROUTE 106 CONNECTOR OPTION 1

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—		•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

A		Score		
Access			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.				Х
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.			Х	
Comments: Access to Pembroke and tourist destinations would be improved. Category Score			Х	

Aesthetics			Score	ļ	
Aestrietius				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х		
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х		
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.		Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.				Х	
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х		
Comments: The views of I-93 would not be affected while the bridge over the river would improve the views of the river and degrade the views from the river.			Х		

Community Resources		Score						
			\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on parks.				X				
Evaluate the effect on schools.				X				
Comments: No Impacts	Category Score			Х				

Community Violen			Score		
Community Vision		0			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.					Χ
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.					X
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.					Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.				Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х		
Comments: The adjacent communities strongly support Category Score this new connection.				Х	

Formaria Vitality		Score	}	
Economic Vitality				
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.		Х		
Comments: Overall the economies of the local communities and region would not be improved by this alternative.		Х		

Historic and Archeological Resources			Score		
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.	Х				
Comments: The improvements could seriously impact Category Score sensitive archeological resources.	Х				

Implementation		Score			
Implementation					
Evaluate the cost.		Х			
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.		Х			
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.				Х	
Comments: Relatively high cost due to bridging two rivers. Category Score		Х			

Mobility			Score		
Mobility		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.		X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х			
Comments: This alternative does not address the mobility needs of I-93.		Х			

Natural Environment			Score	,	
Natural Environment		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.					
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.		X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.	Х				
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.					
Comments: This alternative would have substantial category Score impacts to the natural resources that exist in the Garvins Falls area.	Х				

Public Health			Score		
Public Health		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				X	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: Does not affect public health in any measurable way Category Score			Х		

Quality of Life			Score	
		0	\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.			Х	
Comments: Quality of Life is not affected by this alternative. Category Score			Х	

Residential Neighborhoods			Score		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.			X		
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.			X		
Comments: No Impacts	Category Score		Х		

Safaty			Score		
Safety		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х			
Comments: This alternative would not correct existing safety issues and increased traffic and congestion along I-93 would be expected to make these safety issues worse.		X			

Cupport			Score)	
Support		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.					Х
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.					Х
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.					Х
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.				Х	
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.			Х		
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource groups.	Х				
Comments: There was support from the local communities for this alternative but resource groups and agencies see fatal environmental impacts.		Х			

Transportation Chains		Score	,	
Transportation Choice			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.		X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х		
Comments: No provisions to help promote choice Category Score		Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY ROUTE 106 CONNECTOR OPTION 2

The Route 106 Connector Option 2 proposes a limited access connector roadway from a new Exit 11 ½ on I-93 to the Route 3/106 Intersection. I-93 would remain four lanes north of I-89 under this alternative.

Cotomony		Sc	ore	
Category	$\overline{\ }$			
Access			Х	
Aesthetics		Х		
Community Resources		Х		
Community Vision			Х	$\overline{\ }$
Economic Vitality		Х		
Historic and Archeological Resources	Х			—
Implementation	Х			—
Mobility	Х			—
Natural Environment	Х			—
Public Health		Х		0
Quality of Life		Х		0
Residential Neighborhoods		Х		
Safety	Х			—
Support	Х			—
Transportation Choice			Х	

The Route 106 Connector Option 2 is deemed Unreasonable due to its inability to address the future mobility needs of I-93.

Unreasonable

DETAILED SCREENING ROUTE 106 CONNECTOR OPTION 2

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	•	0	•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition			Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

A			Score		
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.					Х
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.					Х
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.				Х	
Comments: Access to Pembroke, Bow and tourist destinations would be improved. Category Score				Х	

Aesthetics		Score		
Aesthetics			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.		Х		
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.		Х		
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.	Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х	
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.		Х		
Comments: The views of I-93 would not be affected while the bridge over the river would improve the views of the river and degrade the views from the river.		X		

Community Resources		Score				
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on parks.			X			
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X			
Comments: No Impacts.	Category Score		Х			

Community Vision		Score	;	
Community Vision				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.				Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.		Х		
Comments: The adjacent communities support this new category Score connection.			Х	

Formaria Vitality		Score	}	
Economic Vitality				
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.				Х
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.		Х		
Comments: Overall the economies of the local communities and region would not be improved by this alternative.		Х		

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score						
				\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х					
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		X						
Comments: The improvements could impact sensitive archeological resources.		Х						

Implementation			Score			
Implementation		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the cost.		Х				
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.						
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.				Х		
Comments: Relatively high cost due to bridging the Merrimack River. Category Score		Х				

Mobility			Score		
Mobility					
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.		X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.		X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х			
Comments: This alternative does not address the mobility needs of I-93.		Х			

Natural Environment		Score						
				\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X							
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.		X						
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		Х						
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.		Х						
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		Х						
Comments: This alternative could impact sensitive Category Score natural resources.		Х						

Public Health	Score					
Fublic Health				\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.			X			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х			
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х			
Comments: Does not affect public health in any measurable way Category Score			Х			

Quality of Life		Score					
		0					
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.			Х				
Comments: Quality of Life is not affected by this alternative. Category Score			Х				

Residential Neighborhoods		Score						
			\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.				X				
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neig	ghborhoods.			Х				
Comments: No Impacts.	Category Score			Х				

Safaty		Score				
Safety		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.		Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.		Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.		Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.		Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х				
Comments: This alternative would not correct existing safety issues and increased traffic and congestion along I-93 would be expected to make these safety issues worse.		Х				

Support		Score						
Support		\bigcirc		\bigcirc				
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.					Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.					Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.					Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.				Х				
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.			Х					
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х							
Evaluate the support from resource groups.	Х							
Comments: There was support from the local communities for this alternative but resource groups and agencies see fatal environmental impacts.		Х						

Transportation Choice		Score	!	
			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х		
Comments: No provisions to help promote choice Category Score		Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS ALTERNATIVE

The Local Road Improvements Alternative proposes improvements to or construction of new of local roads. I-93 would remain four lanes north of I-89 under this alternative and would include the following:

- Langley Parkway (NW Bypass)
- Exit 16 1/2

- Connector from Exit 16 to US 3
- Extend Storrs Street

Catamanu			Sc	ore	
Category		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Access			Х		0
Aesthetics			Х		0
Community Resources		Х			—
Community Vision		Х			—
Economic Vitality			Х		
Historic and Archeological Resources	Х				
Implementation		Х			—
Mobility		Х			—
Natural Environment	Х				
Public Health			Х		
Quality of Life		Х			—
Residential Neighborhoods		Х			—
Safety		Х			$\overline{\ }$
Support		Х			$\overline{\ }$
Transportation Choice			Х		

The Local Road Improvements Alternative is deemed Unreasonable due to its inability to address future mobility needs of I-93

Unreasonable

SCREENING SUMMARY LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—		•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

A 00000		Score		
Access	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.		Х		
Comments: No change in access Category Score		Х		

Acathatica	Score					
Aesthetics						
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х			
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х			
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х			
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х			
Comments: No measurable effect to river of I-93. Category Score			Х			

Community Pagaurage		Score		
Community Resources	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on parks.	Х			
Evaluate the effect on schools.	Х			
Comments: The new corridors could impact parks and/or schools. Category Score	Х			

Community Violan		Score	,	
Community Vision			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.	X			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.	Х			
Comments: These improvements are not compatible Category Score with Concord's plans.	 Х			_

Foonemie Vitelity		Score		
Economic Vitality			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.	X			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.	Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.		X		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.		Х		
Comments: The economy of the local communities and region would not be improved by these local roads.		Х		

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score				
Historic and Archeological Resources		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.	X					
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		Х				
Comments: These local roads pass through historic districts and could impact this resource.	Х					

Implementation			Score		
Implementation		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the cost.	X				
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.				Х	
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.				Х	
Comments There would considerable cost to construct these new corridors either from bridging the river or impacts to private property.		Х			

Mobility		Score		
Mobility			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.	X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.	X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.	X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.			X	
Comments: There local roads do not address the mobility needs of I-93.	Х			

Noticeal Engironment			Score	!	
Natural Environment		0		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X				
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.		X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		X			
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.	Х				
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.	Х				
Comments: The connection from Exit 16 would cross category Score sensitive wetlands and floodplains.	Х				

Dublic Uselth		Score		
Public Health	\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.	Х			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.		Х		
Comments: No overall change would be expected. Category Score		Х		

Quality of Life			Score		
		0	\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.					
Comments: The Langley Parkway would bring more traffic to the center of Concord.		Х			

Residential Neighborhoods				
		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.		X		
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.				
Comments: The Langley Parkway would bring more traffic to the center of Concord Category Score		Х		

Safaty			Score		
Safety		•			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х	
Comments: The existing safety issues along I-93 are not addressed.		Х			

Cupport				Score	core		
Support			\bigcirc				
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.				Χ			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.							
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.				Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.				Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood i upon travel through the region.	s dependent	X					
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.		Х					
Evaluate the support from resource groups.							
Comments: Car	tegory Score		Х				

Transportation Choice					
Transportation Choice		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation				Х	
Comments: Category Score			Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS ALTERNATIVE

The Safety Improvements Alternative proposes to address the existing safety issues along I-93, I-89 and I-393. I-93 would remain four lanes north of I-89 under this alternative.

Cotomony			Sc	ore		
Category		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Access			Х			
Aesthetics			Х			0
Community Resources			Х			0
Community Vision		Х				—
Economic Vitality			Х			0
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х			0
Implementation				Х		\bigcirc
Mobility	Х					
Natural Environment			Х			0
Public Health			Х			0
Quality of Life		Х				—
Residential Neighborhoods			Х			
Safety					Х	
Support		Х				$\overline{\ }$
Transportation Choice			Х			

The Safety Improvements Alternative is deemed Unreasonable due to its inability to address future mobility needs of I-93.

Unreasonable

DETAILED SCREENING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System							
	—		•						
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit					
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement					
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support					

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access			Score	
		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.			Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.			Х	
Comments: Category Score			Х	

Aesthetics			Score	core		
Aesthetics		•				
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х			
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х			
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х			
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х			
Comments: Category Score			Х			

Community Resources			Score			
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on parks.			Х			
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X			
Comments: Categ	ory Score		Х			

Community Vision			Score		
Community Vision					
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.		Х			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.			Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.		Х			
Comments: Category Score		Х			

Economic Vitality			Score		
Economic Vitality		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.			X		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.			X		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			X		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.			Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Historic and Archeological Resources			Score						
			0	0	\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.				X					
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.									
Comments:	Category Score			Х					

Implementation					
Implementation					
Evaluate the cost.			Х		
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.				Х	
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.				Х	
Comments: Category Score				Х	

Mobility			Score		
Mobility		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.	X				
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.	X				
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х			
Comments: Category Score	Х				

Natural Environment			!		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.		X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		Х			
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Public Health			Score	!	
Public Health		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Quality of Life			Score	;	
Quality of Life		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.		X			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.	Х				
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.		X			
Comments: Category Score		Х			

Residential Neighborhoods		Score						
Residential Neighborhoods				\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.			Х					
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.			Х					
Comments: Category Score			Х					

Safety		Score		
Salety			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х
Comments: Category Score				Х

Support			;		
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is depende upon travel through the region.	nt	Х			
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.				Х	
Evaluate the support from resource groups.				Х	
Comments: Category Sco	re	Х			

Transportation Choice			Score		
Transportation Choice		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х			
Comments: Category Score			Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY 1992 FEASIBILITY STUDY ALTERNATIVE

The 1992 Feasibility Study proposed a significant reconstruction of I-93 that included an eight lane I-93. The proposed improvements included reconstruction of all exits on I-93 and Exit 1 on I-89.

Cotomomy	Score					
Category		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Access					Х	
Aesthetics	Х					
Community Resources			Х			0
Community Vision	Х					
Economic Vitality				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Historic and Archeological Resources		Х				$\overline{\ }$
Implementation	Х					
Mobility					Х	
Natural Environment			Х			0
Public Health		Х				$\overline{\ }$
Quality of Life		Х				$\overline{\ }$
Residential Neighborhoods			Х			0
Safety					Х	
Support	Х					
Transportation Choice			Х			

The 1992 Feasibility Study is deemed Unreasonable due to the impacts to the corridor and its focus on automobile traffic.

Unreasonable

DETAILED SCREENING 1992 FEASIBILITY STUDY ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

Scoring System											
	•	0	•								
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit							
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement							
Unreasonable Strong Opposition		·	Support	Reasonable Strong Support							

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access		Score	
Access			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.			Х
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.		Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.			Х
Comments: This alternative substantially improves access.			Х

Aesthetics			Score		
Aestrietics		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.		Х			
Evaluate the views of <i>I-93</i> from the adjacent communities.	X				
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.					
Comments: The wide corridor and elevated ramps Category Score degrade the views.	Х				

Community Posouroes		Score				
Community Resources				\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on parks.			X			
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X			
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score		Х			

Community Vision			Score	!	
Community Vision				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.					
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.					
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.		Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.					Х
Comments: This alternative is not compatible with the visions of the communities.	Х				

Formaria Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality				
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.	Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.	Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.			Х	
Comments: Existing businesses would be impacted but the increased access and mobility could improve future business.			Х	

Historic and Archeological Resources			Score		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		Х			
Comments: The improvements could impact sensitive archeological resources.		Х			

Implementation			Score	
Implementation		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the cost.	Х			
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.			Х	
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.		Х		
Comments: This alternative would be unreasonably expensive and construction would be extremely disruptive.	Х			

Mobility			Score		
MODILLY		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.					Х
Comments: Mobility would be substantially improved by this alternative.					Х

Notural Environment			Score	;	
Natural Environment				Θ	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.			X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		X			
Comments: The extensive improvements would impact Category Score the natural environment.			Х		

Public Health			Score		
T ubile Health		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			X		
Comments: This alternative would promote automotive travel and could have a negative effect on air quality.		Х			

Quality of Life			Score	!	
Quality of Life					
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.		Х			
Comments: Traveling through the region would be improved but the expansive corridor would negatively impact those living and working in the area.		Х			

Residential Neighborhoods			Score		
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.			X		
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.			X		
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score		Х		

Cofoty					
Safety		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				X	
Comments: Existing deficiencies would be corrected with this alternative. Category Score					Х

Support			Score)	
Support		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.	X				
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.	Х				
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.	Х				
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.			Х		
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource groups.					
Comments: Strong opposition for this alternative. Category Score	Χ	-			

Transportation Chains			Score		
Transportation Choice		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation			Х		
Comments: This alternative is focused on improving automobile travel.			Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY PASSENGER RAIL SERVICE ALTERNATIVE

Passenger Rail Service proposes implementing rail service from the south into Concord. I-93 would remain four lanes north of I-89.

Cotomomi	Score							
Category		$\overline{\ }$		\bigcirc				
Access			Х					
Aesthetics			Х			0		
Community Resources			Х					
Community Vision				Х				
Economic Vitality				Х		$\overline{\ }$		
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х					
Implementation	Х							
Mobility		Х				—		
Natural Environment			Х					
Public Health				Х		\bigcirc		
Quality of Life				Х		$\overline{\ }$		
Residential Neighborhoods			Х					
Safety			Х					
Support		Х				$\overline{\ }$		
Transportation Choice					Х			

The Passenger Rail Service Alternative is deemed Unreasonable due to its inability to address the project goals such as improved Mobility and increased safety.

Unreasonable

SCREENING SUMMARY PASSENGER RAIL SERVICE ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—	0		
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition			Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access			Score		
Access				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			X		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.			Х		
Comments: This alternative does not change access. Category Score			Х		

Aesthetics			Score	e		
Aestrictics		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х			
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х			
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х			
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х			
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х			
Comments: No changes to views as a result of this alternative.			Х			

Community Resources						
			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on parks.				X		
Evaluate the effect on schools.				Х		
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score			Х		

Community Vision			Score		
Community Vision					
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Χ	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.					X
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.				Х	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.				Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х		
Comments: Rail service is compatible with local plans. Category Score				Х	

Economic Vitality			Score		
Economic Vitality		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.				Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.				Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.				Х	
Comments: Some improvement t business could be expected from passenger rail service.				Х	

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score							
					\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.				Х					
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.				Х					
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score			Х					

Implementation			Score		
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the cost.	Х				
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.					
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.		Х			
Comments Extremely difficult to implement because there is currently no passenger rail service in New Hampshire.	Х				

Mobility			Score		
Mobility		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.		X			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.					
Comments: Does not address the future mobility needs of the area.		Х			

Notural Environment		Score	;	
Natural Environment			Θ	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.		X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.		Х		
Comments: No impacts since the rail corridor already exists.		Х		

Dublic Hoolth			Score		
Public Health		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: Some improvement to public health due to reduction of auto use.				Х	

Quality of Life		Score					
		0	\bigcirc	0			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.				Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.				Х			
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.					Х		
Comments: Improved quality of life for those traveling Category Score longer distances.				Х			

Residential Neighborhoods		Score						
			\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.				X				
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.				X				
Comments. No impacts. Categ	ory Score			X				

Safety					
Salety				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.			Х		
Comments: Existing deficiencies are not addressed. Category Score			Х		

Support			Score		
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.					Х
Evaluate the support from resource groups.					Х
Comments: The communities support passenger rail service but not as a stand alone alternative.		Х			

Transportation Chains			Score		
Transportation Choice		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.					X
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.					X
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation				Х	
Comments: Promotes the use of alternate modes. Category Score					Х

SCREENING SUMMARY INTERSTATE 93 TUNNEL ALTERNATIVE

This Alternative would place I-93 in a tunnel as it passed Downtown Concord. The tunnel would be a component of another build alternative.

Catogory			So	ore		
Category		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Access				Х		
Aesthetics				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Community Resources			Х			0
Community Vision					Х	
Economic Vitality				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х			
Implementation	Х					
Mobility					Х	
Natural Environment			Х			
Public Health				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Quality of Life					Х	
Residential Neighborhoods					Х	
Safety				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Support				Х		\bigcirc
Transportation Choice			Х			

The I-93 Tunnel is deemed a reasonable component for further consideration.

DETAILED SCREENING INTERSTATE 93 TUNNEL ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—	0		
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

A 00000			Score		
Access				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.				Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.					Х
Comments: Access is improved by this alternative. Category Score				Χ	

DETAILED SCREENING INTERSTATE 93 TUNNEL ALTERNATIVE

Acathotics			Score	Score		
Aesthetics				\bigcirc		
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.	Х					
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.					Х	
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.		Х				
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.				Х		
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.				Х		
Comments: The views are improved by this alternative. Category Score				Х		

Community Resources		Score						
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on parks.			X					
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X					
Comments: No impacts. Categ	ory Score		Х					

DETAILED SCREENING INTERSTATE 93 TUNNEL ALTERNATIVE

Community Vision		Score					
		0		0			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.					Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.					Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			Х				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.			Х				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.				Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.				Х			
Comments: This alternative is compatible with most community's plans or visions. Category Score					Х		

Economic Vitality		Score					
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.				Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.				Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.				Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.				Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.					Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.				Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.				Х			
Comments: This alternative would benefit the economies of the adjacent communities.				Х			

Historic and Archeological Resources			Score					
				\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			X					
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		Х						
Comments: The improvements could impact sensitive archeological resources.			Х		_			

Implementation			Score				
Implementation							
Evaluate the cost.	Х						
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.	Х						
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.	Х						
Comments: Implementing this alternative would be very difficult.	Х						

Mobility			Score		
Mobility		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.				X	
Comments: Mobility would be substantially enhanced by this alternative.					Х

Natural Environment			Score			
Natural Environment		\bigcirc				
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.				X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.			X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			Х			
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.			Х			
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.	Х					
Comments: . Category Score			Х	_		

Public Health		Score			
Public nealth		•	\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: Access to the river and other proposed pedestrian trails could improve public health.				Х	

Quality of Life			Score			
Quality of Life		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.				Х		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.					Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.					Х	
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.				Х		
Comments: This alternative would improve the quality of life for those in the region by reducing traffic congestion and providing access to other community assets like the river.					Х	

Pacidential Neighborhoods					
Residential Neighborhoods				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.					Х
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.					Х
Comments: Neighborhoods would benefit from the reduced traffic on local streets.					Х

Cofoty	Score				
Safety		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х	
Comments: Existing deficiencies would be corrected but other issues are created. Category Score				Х	

Support		Score		
Support			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.				Х
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.		Х		
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.		Х		
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х		
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.		Х		
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.		Х		
Evaluate the support from resource groups.		Х		
Comments: There was general support for considering a tunnel on I-93.			Х	

Transportation Choice			!		
Transportation Choice				Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY SHIFT I- 93 TO EAST OF MERRIMACK RIVER

This Alternative would shift I-93 to the East side of the Merrimack River from north of Exit 12 to Exit 15. I-93 would have six lanes with upgraded exits.

Cotomony	Score					
Category		\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
Access			Х			0
Aesthetics		Х				—
Community Resources			Х			
Community Vision		Х				—
Economic Vitality				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х			
Implementation	Х					
Mobility					Х	
Natural Environment	Х					
Public Health				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Quality of Life					Х	
Residential Neighborhoods				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Safety				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Support		Х				—
Transportation Choice			Х			

Shifting I-93 to the East side of the Merrimack River is deemed Unreasonable due to environmental obstacles.

Unreasonable

DETAILED SCREENING SHIFT I- 93 TO EAST OF MERRIMACK RIVER

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

Scoring System											
	•	0	$\overline{\ }$								
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit							
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement							
Unreasonable Strong Opposition			Support	Reasonable Strong Support							

Detailed Screening Criteria

A 0 0 0 0 0	Score			
Access			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.		X		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.	X			
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.		Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.				Х
Comments: Access to Downtown Concord would be degraded because vehicles would have to cross the river to get to I-93.		Х		

Acathotica				Score		
Aesthetics			0	\bigcirc		
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.					Х	
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х			
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.					Х	
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.		Χ				
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.				Х		
Comments: Category So	core		Х			

Community Posources				Score	
Community Resources			\bigcirc		
Evaluate the effect on parks.				Χ	
Evaluate the effect on schools.				X	
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score	_		X	

Community Violan		Score		
Community Vision				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.	X			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.	Х			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.	Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.			Х	
Comments: This alternative is not compatible with most category Score community's plans or visions.	Х			

Foonamie Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х	
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.				Х
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.			Х	
Comments: This alternative would benefit the economies of the adjacent communities.			Х	

Historic and Archeological Resources			Score		
Thistoric and Archeological Nesources		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		Х			
Comments: The improvements could impact sensitive archeological resources.			Х		

Implementation		Score	
Implementation			
Evaluate the cost.	Х		
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.	Х		
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.	Х		
Comments: Implementing this alternative would be very difficult.	Х		

Mobility	Score				
Mobility		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.				X	
Comments: Mobility would be substantially enhanced by this alternative.					Х

Natural Environment			Score	,	
Natural Environment		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X				
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.	X				
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.			X		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.	Х				
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.	Х				
Comments: . Category Score	Χ				

Public Health		Score		
Public nealth				
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.	Х			
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.		Х		
Comments: Access to the river and other proposed pedestrian trails could improve public health. Category Score	 		Х	

Quality of Life	Score				
Quality of Life		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.					Х
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.					Х
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.				Х	
Comments: This alternative would improve the quality of life for those in the region by reducing traffic congestion and providing access to other community assets like the river.					Х

Decidential Neighborhoods		Score		
Residential Neighborhoods	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.			X	
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.			Х	
Comments: Neighborhoods would benefit from the reduced traffic on local streets.		_	Х	

Cofoty			Score		
Safety		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.					Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.				Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х	
Comments: Existing deficiencies would be corrected but				Х	

Support			Score	ļ	
Support				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.		Х			
Evaluate the support from resource groups.		Х			
Comments: There was general opposition to this alternative.		Х			-

Transportation Chains		Score		
Transportation Choice	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.		X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.		X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х		
Comments: Category Score		Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY MOVE MERRIMACK RIVER AWAY FROM I-93

This alternative proposes moving the Merrimack River away from Interstate 93 as it passes through Downtown Concord.

Cotogony	Score						
Category		$\overline{\bullet}$		\bigcirc			
Access			Х				
Aesthetics			Х				
Community Resources			Х			0	
Community Vision				Х		\bigcirc	
Economic Vitality			Х				
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х				
Implementation	Х						
Mobility			Х			0	
Natural Environment	Х						
Public Health			Х			0	
Quality of Life			Х			0	
Residential Neighborhoods			Х			0	
Safety			Х				
Support	Х						
Transportation Choice			Х				

Moving the Merrimack River is deemed
Unreasonable due to environmental obstacles.

Unreasonable

DETAILED SCREENING MOVE MERRIMACK RIVER AWAY FROM I-93

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	—		•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition	орросииси.		Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

A 0 0 0 0 0			Score		
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.			Х		
Comments: No change. Category Score			Х		

Aesthetics			Score	!	
Aestrietics		•			
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х		
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х		
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х		
Comments: No measurable impact. Category Score			Х		

Community Resources		Score						
					\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on parks.				Х				
Evaluate the effect on schools.				X				
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score			Х				

Community Vision		Score		
Community Vision				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		Χ		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.			X	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		X		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.		X		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.		Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х	

Economic Vitality			Score		
Economic Vitality		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.	al		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.			Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.			Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.			Х		
Comments: No impacts. Category Score	е				

Historic and Archeological Resources					
			0	\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.				Х	
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.				X	
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score			Х	

Implementation			Score		
Implementation				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the cost.					
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.					
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.			Х		
Comments: High cost and difficult to construct. Category Score	Х	-			

Mobility			Score		
mobility		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.			X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Natural Environment			Score		
Natural Environment		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X				
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.					
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		Х			
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.	Х				
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.					
Comments: Two brides over the Merrimack River and significant floodplain impacts.	Х				

Public Health			Score		
		\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х		
Comments: Category Score		-	Х	-	

Quality of Life					
				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.			X		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.			Х		
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Residential Neighborhoods		Score						
		\bigcirc		Θ				
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.			Х					
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.			Х					
Comments: Category Score	Э		Х					

Safaty			Score		
Safety				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.			X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.			Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.			Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х		

Support			Score	!	
		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.		Х			
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.	Х				
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.		Х			
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.			Х		
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х				
Evaluate the support from resource groups.					
Comments: There was strong opposition to this alternative.	Х				

Transportation Choice		Score	!	
Transportation Choice			Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation		Х		
Comments: Category Score		Х		

SCREENING SUMMARY RAIL TRANSIT IN I-93 MEDIAN

This option proposes accommodating a rail transit system in the median of I-93. The transit system would be a component of another build alternative.

Cotomony		_	Sc	ore		
Category		$\overline{\ }$				
Access			Х			
Aesthetics			Х			
Community Resources			Х			0
Community Vision			Х			0
Economic Vitality			Х			
Historic and Archeological Resources			Х			
Implementation	Х					
Mobility		Х				$\overline{}$
Natural Environment		Х				$\overline{\ }$
Public Health				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Quality of Life				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Residential Neighborhoods			Х			
Safety			Х			
Support				Х		$\overline{\ }$
Transportation Choice					Х	

Rail Transit in the I-93 median is deemed a Reasonable component for further consideration.

SCREENING SUMMARY LIGHT RAIL IN I-93 MEDIAN

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

Scoring System									
	•	0	•						
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit					
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement					
Unreasonable Strong Opposition			Support	Reasonable Strong Support					

Detailed Screening Criteria

A 0 0 0 0 0			Score	е	
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.			Х		
Comments: This alternative does not change access. Category Score			Х		

Aesthetics		Score			
Aesthetics		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х		
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х		
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.			Х		
Comments: No changes to views as a result of this alternative.			Х		

Community Bosouross		Score					
Community Resources		\bigcirc					
Evaluate the effect on parks.			X				
Evaluate the effect on schools.			X				
Comments:	Category Score		Х				

Community Vision		Score	
Community Vision	\bigcirc	0	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		X	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.		X	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.		X	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.		X	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.		Х	
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.		Х	
Comments: Category Score		Х	

Economic Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.		Х		
Comments: Category Score		Х		_

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score								
			0	\bigcirc						
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.				Х						
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.				X						
Comments: No impacts.	Category Score			Х						

Implementation			Score	
Implementation		\bigcirc		
Evaluate the cost.	Х			
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.		Х		
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.		Х		
Comments Extremely difficult to implement because Category Score there is currently no light rail service in New Hampshire.	Х			

Mobility	Score			
Mobility	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.		X		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.			X	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.	Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.	Х			
Comments: Does not address the future mobility needs	Х			

Noticed Environment		Score		
Natural Environment			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.		X		
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.		X		
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.	Х			
Comments: Category Score	Х	_		_

Public Health		Score		
Public Health			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		X		
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.			X	
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.		X		
Comments: Some improvement to public health due to reduction of auto use.			Х	

Quality of Life		Score		
Quality of Life				
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.			X	
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.		Х		
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.		Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х	

Desidential Neighborhoods				Score		
Residential Neighborhoods			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.				Х		
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neig	hborhoods.			Х		
Comments. No impacts.	Category Score	-	-	X		

Cofoty		Score	
Safety			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.		Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.		Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.		Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.		Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х	
Comments: Existing deficiencies are not addressed. Category Score		Х	

Support		Score		
Support			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.			X	
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.			X	
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.			Χ	
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.			Х	
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.			Х	
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.			Χ	
Evaluate the support from resource groups.			Х	
Comments: There was general support for this as a Category Score component.			X	

Transportation Chains		Score		
Transportation Choice	\bigcirc		Θ	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.				Х
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.			Х	
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation			Х	
Comments: Promotes the use of alternate modes. Category Score				Х

SCREENING SUMMARY WESTERN BELTWAY ALTERNATIVE

This alternative proposes a new corridor connecting I-89 near Exit 2 to I-93 near Exit 16 around the western side of Downtown Concord. I-93 would remain four lanes north of I-89.

Cotomomy		_	Sc	ore	
Category		$\overline{\ }$		\bigcirc	
Access				Х	
Aesthetics		Х			—
Community Resources	Х				
Community Vision		Х			—
Economic Vitality			Х		0
Historic and Archeological Resources	Х				
Implementation	Х				
Mobility				Х	\bigcirc
Natural Environment	Х				
Public Health			Х		0
Quality of Life		Х			—
Residential Neighborhoods	Х				
Safety		Х			$\overline{\ }$
Support	Х				
Transportation Choice			Х		

The Western Beltway is deemed Unreasonable due its impacts to neighborhoods, historic properties and natural resources.

Unreasonable

SCREENING SUMMARY WESTERN BELTWAY ALTERNATIVE

The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether a concept is effective in addressing the problems and goals defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criteria are arranged into fifteen categories that are summarized on the previous page. The Scoring System outlined below is a qualitative measure of a concepts ability to meet the criteria. The Category Score is an overall score for the particular category that is not just the sum of the detailed scoring.

		Scoring System		
	•	0	•	
Fatal Flaw Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral	Benefit	Substantial Benefit
Serious Degradation	Degradation Opposition	Not Applicable No Impact	Improvement Enhancement	Substantial Improvement
Unreasonable Strong Opposition			Support	Reasonable Strong Support

Detailed Screening Criteria

Access			Score		
Access		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Bow.				X	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Concord.				Х	
Evaluate the access provided to and from I-93, I-89 & I-393 in Pembroke.			Х		
Evaluate the access provided to and from tourist destinations.				Х	
Comments: Category Score				Χ	

Acathatica					
Aesthetics				\bigcirc	
Evaluate the views of the adjacent communities from I-93.			Х		
Evaluate the views of I-93 from the adjacent communities.			Х		
Evaluate the views of the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate the views from the Merrimack River.			Х		
Evaluate whether the unique character of the Capital Region is complemented.		Х			
Comments: Category Score		Х			

Community Bosouross			!			
Community Resources			\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effect on parks.		Х				
Evaluate the effect on schools.		Х				
Comments: The new corridors could impact parks and/or schools.	Category Score	Х				

Community Violen			Score	;	
Community Vision		0		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Bow Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			Х		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Concord Master Plan, the Opportunity Corridor Master Plan, and/or other current planning documents.	X				
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the Pembroke Master Plan and/or other current planning documents.			X		
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the master plans and/or other planning documents from the other communities in the region.		Х			
Evaluate the compatibility with the visions, land use plan, and major elements of the CNHRPC Regional Master Plan.		Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts on population and employment growth in the region.				Х	
Comments: These improvements are not compatible Category Score with Concord's plans.		Х			

Foonamie Vitality		Score		
Economic Vitality			\bigcirc	
Evaluate the potential impacts to Bow's existing businesses and commercial districts.		X		
Evaluate the potential impacts to Concord's existing businesses and commercial districts.	Х			
Evaluate the potential impacts to Pembroke's existing businesses and commercial districts.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Bow.		Х		
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Concord.	Х			
Evaluate the effects to anticipated economic initiatives in Pembroke.		Х		
Evaluate the potential impacts to regional economic prospects.		Х		
Comments: The economy of the local communities and region would not be improved.		Х		

Historic and Archeological Resources		Score					
				\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on historic resources.	Х						
Evaluate the effect on archeological resources.		Х					
Comments: This alternative passes through historic districts and could impact this resource.	Х						

Implementation			Score	core		
Implementation				\bigcirc		
Evaluate the cost.						
Evaluate the ability to implement in phases over a period of time.						
Evaluate the ability to maintain mobility and access during construction.			Х			
Comments There would considerable cost to construct Category Score this new corridor.	Х					

Mobility		Score		
Mobility	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for tourists to and through the region during peak periods.			X	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for commuters to and from the region during peak periods.			X	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for local traffic movement during peak periods.		Х		
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for the movement of goods and services in the region.			X	
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.		Х		
Comments: Category Score			Х	_

Notinal Environment		Score	;	
Natural Environment			Θ	
Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries based upon the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan priorities, for example, the floodplain forest of the Merrimack River and its tributaries and upland vegetated buffers around wetlands and surface waters.	X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping and the NH Fish and Game Wildlife Action Plan.	X			
Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species based upon NH Natural Heritage Bureau mapping.	X			
Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture.	Х			
Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas.	Х			
Comments: The connection from Exit 16 would cross category Score sensitive wetlands and floodplains.	Х			

Public Health	Score						
Public Health		\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction (i.e., traffic jams, construction equipment, detours, etc) including mobile-source air toxins.		X					
Evaluate the effect on air quality post construction including mobile-source air toxins.				Х			
Evaluate the effect on walkable communities.			Х				
Evaluate the effect on drinking water quality and quantity.			Х				
Comments: No overall change would be expected. Category Score			Х				

Quality of Life	Score						
Quality of Life							
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those living in the region.		X					
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those working in the region.				Х			
Evaluate the effect on the Quality of Life for those traveling through the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effect on noise levels.		Х					
Comments: Category Score		Х		-			

Residential Neighborhoods		Score						
			\bigcirc		Θ			
Evaluate the effect on existing residential neighborhoods.		Χ						
Evaluate the effect on planned or developing residential neighborhoods.		Χ						
Comments: Category Sco	ore	X						

Safety	Score						
Salety				\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-93.		Х					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-89.		Х					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on I-393.		Х					
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety on local streets.				Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.				Х			
Comments: The existing safety issues along I-93 are not addressed.		Х					

Support	Score						
Support		\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the support from the public in Bow.	Х						
Evaluate the support from the public in Pembroke.	Х						
Evaluate the support from the public in Concord.	Х						
Evaluate the support from the public in the other communities in the Central NH Region.	Х						
Evaluate the support from those communities whose livelihood is dependent upon travel through the region.	Х						
Evaluate the support from resource agencies.	Х						
Evaluate the support from resource groups.	Х						
Comments: Strong opposition to this alternative due to its impacts.	Х						

Transportation Chaica	Score						
Transportation Choice		\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
Evaluate the effectiveness to provide for future passenger rail service to the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to preserve the current freight rail service and enhance future freight rail service in the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of freight transport in the region including rail, truck and air.			Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to expand bus service in the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the region.				Х			
Evaluate the effectiveness to reduce the number of single occupancy vehicles in the region.			Х				
Evaluate the effectiveness to integrate all modes of transportation				Х			
Comments: Category Score			Х				